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Title of Presentation: Language mediated visual orienting behaviour in high and low literates

Abstract: Recently effects of formal literacy on language mediated eye movements has been observed using eye tracking as a tool, Huettig, Singh, & Mishra (2011). It was found that in a simple ‘look and listen task’; word-object matching performance in low literates is only restricted to semantic activation while their age matched high literate counterparts show an early phonological activation. The current study aims to make this claim robust that the phonological activation in high literate group is indeed an outcome of formal literacy skills. It also aims to rule out the possibility that the lack of phonological activation in low literates is a result of slowness in object naming.
15 participants each in high literate (mean age = 24 yrs, 15 yrs of formal schooling) and low literate group (mean age= 27 yrs, 2 yrs of formal schooling) took part in the experiment. Experiment consisted of 70 sentence-display pairs (35 experimental and 35 fillers). Each display had four objects (a phonological competitor, e.g., 'matar', peas; a semantic competitor, e.g., 'kachuwa', turtle, and two unrelated distractors) of the auditory target word 'magar', crocodile. Filler displays always had the image of actual spoken referent. Before each visual-world trial, participants in both the groups were instructed to name aloud all the objects in the display in a sequential manner. Eight seconds was the maximum time allowed for this naming after which the spoken sentence containing target word was presented. Eye movements were recorded from the onset of the spoken sentence till 2000 ms. Results show that despite the fact that low literates could name the objects in the display as accurately as the high literates, they only show semantic competition but no online phonological activation (ref.fig.1 and 2). Thus it seems that phonological activation in high literates reflect emergence of additional cognitive mechanisms to process oral language, through grapheme-phoneme conversion rules (Kosmidis Mary H.; 2004). The findings are in line with the earlier observations and interpreted in terms of a three pathway model proposed by (Castro-Caldas et al., 1998).

Fig.1. showing time course of fixation proportions towards phonological, semantic competitors and distracters from the onset of target words in low-literates.
Fig. 2. showing time course of fixation proportions towards phonological, semantic competitors and distracters from the onset of target words in high-literates.
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