

Multilingual syntactico-semantic access  
during acquisition and in proficient language  
use

**Professor R. Amritavalli**

*The English and Foreign Languages University,  
Hyderabad, India.*

# A “mental lexicon”

We have words in the mind that we can pull out to express our thoughts, or to recognize thoughts that others express

- How are words learnt in a second language?
- Do speakers of more than one language use the mental lexicon of one language at a time, or more than one?

# Concept → lexicon

Within a language, intended meaning activates more than one word: i.e. matching word *and* other related words.

Naming a picture of a dog activates  
“ ‘dog,’ ” “ ‘cat,’ ” “ ‘bark,’ ” ...

A lexical selection mechanism decides which activated lexical item wins.

# Two, three ... languages, one mind

- By the same logic, current models assume lexical nodes of both languages activated by semantic system
- But proficient bilinguals can select and produce words from only one of their lexicons, whether in L1 or L2, ...
- There must be mechanisms for bilinguals to **restrict** word access to one language:  
    **“inhibitory control”**

# Bilingual lexical access

- After the words of the “non-response language” are **activated**, they have to be **inhibited**.
- Amount of inhibition for a language depends on speaker’s proficiency in that language.
- Speaking in **L1**: **L2** is less dominant, so not much inhibition required (assume lower level of activation for L2 words)
- Speaking in **L2**: **L1** dominant, its words must be strongly inhibited.

# Language switching experiments

Task: name aloud numbers 1 to 9

- In L1 if the background colour is blue
- In L2 if the background colour is red
- Switching a language is costly, takes more time
- Switching L2  $\rightarrow$  L1 more costly than  
L1  $\rightarrow$  L2

because L1 is strongly inhibited.

# Asymmetrical cost of switching disappears in proficient bilinguals

- If the difference in proficiency levels in the two languages is minimal, the amount of inhibition applied to both languages would be similar

# Presupposition: fluent speech is monolingual

- lexical items from the “non-intended” language are an “intrusion” into bilingual speech
- On the contrary, intentional use of lexical resources from two or more languages in the “bilingual joke.”

# The bilingual joke

At its simplest, a cross-language pun:

- Q: What did the **peas** in the pod say to one another?

A: **mutter**, **mutter** (Hindi)

Why did the **Tamil** cow eat the **door**?

It said '**pull**' (**grass**).

# Within word switching!

More complex 1:

stretch the pronunciation:

• Q: What did one **brinjal** say to another?

A: Let **baigaans** be **baigaans**

More complex 2:

stretch the pronunciation **and** switch,

twice within a word! (Tamil-English)

Q: Give me a word with one 'g' and four 't' s.

A: **ori gi naali ty** ( 'originality' )

# Morpheme switching

Tamil      –an (familiar 3<sup>rd</sup> person) av-an  
              –ar (respectful 3<sup>rd</sup> person) av-ar

He' s an **engini-yan**, not an **engini-yer**.

He' s a **ward-er**, not a **ward-en**.

# Panjabi-English

Customer: Sardarji, **liptan di** cha.

Shopkeeper: Badshao, tennu ε to  
mennu bi ε

‘Lipton’ s tea’                      ‘A wish to embrace’

‘Madam, if you have it, so do I’

# An article 'a,' a banana, a-lone

Q: What did one **banana** say to another?

A: **A keela hû mε**

'I am **a keela** (**a banana**)'

'I am **akeela**' (**alone**)

What is Bruce Li's finger called?

**Ung li**

# Learning words in a 2<sup>nd</sup> language: a noun advantage

- **When infants - 1 to 2 year olds – first begin to use words productively** (i.e. other than “formulas” for greeting, refusal, and other such functions), **these words are mostly nouns.**
- First documented for English, **noun dominance in early vocabularies** (up to 200 words) argued to hold even in “verb friendly” languages
- E.g. Korean, Mandarin and Navajo

(cf. Gentner and Boroditsky (Navajo) for discussion).

# Cause: Cognition, or Language?

- Cognitive salience of objects in the environment
- Language represents nominal and verbal/relational categories differently.

Genter (1982): two interrelated hypotheses:

- the **natural partitions** hypothesis
- the **relational relativity** hypothesis

which address, respectively, cognitive and linguistic factors.

# The natural partitions hypothesis

- “... concrete objects and entities are easier to individuate in the world (and therefore easier to label) than are the relational constellations that form the referents of verbs or prepositions”
- “Relations require the presence of the entities they link; ... entities are psychologically represented before the relations between them”

# The relational relativity hypothesis

- verb meanings (relational terms, e.g. verbs, prepositions) – vary cross linguistically more than concrete nouns do.
- “... the denotations of concrete nouns can be derived by linking a word with an existing concept. But the meanings of verbs and prepositions are not ‘out there’ in the same sense. . . .”
- “... noun meanings are given to us by the world; verb meanings are more free to vary across languages.” (Gentner 1981: 169)

# Predictions from RR for Second Language Acquisition

- There should also be a noun advantage in second-language learning
- As vocabulary size increases, there should be an increase in the proportion of relational terms.

# Lila Gleitman: “syntactic bootstrapping”

- “different kinds of information must be brought to bear for solving the mapping problem” (between the world and language) for predicates than for nouns.
- The initial word-to-world pairing suffices “to identify a small set of concrete nominal terms,” but later vocabulary building progresses “in tandem with the clause-level syntax.”
- the latter kind of information processing is “structure-to-world pairing.”

Snedeker and Gleitman (2004)

# A noun advantage in English as a second language

- We document a noun advantage
- We show that verb acquisition goes hand in hand with acquisition of determiners, conjunctions, and prepositions (“closed class” or structural items).

(Vijaya and Amritavalli 2008)

# Speech elicitation: NBT India

## sequenced picture books

Familiar contexts

11 scenes, 4 books

- 1. The Train Journey (n.d.) “Train”: A boy journeys with parents and grandparents: episodes (buying tickets, passing through a tunnel, ...)
- 2. Going to a Market (1986) “Market”: A boy and a girl go to the market with their mother.
- 3. The Story of a Mango (1993) “Mango”: A mango travels from a tree to a crow to a sleeping man’s turban and finally, to the boy and the girl who first wanted it.
- 4. Flying Shoes (not dated) “Shoes”: A girl finds flying shoes and goes places using them

- Study in Kendriya Vidyalaya (KV) Unnao, U.P. Pilot at KV Uppal, Hyderabad
- Each student narrated a story in English and Hindi
- No story repeated
- 30 students

Stories	English	Hindi
Mango	Gp 1: Students 1-8	Gp 2: Students 9-16
Shoe	Gp 2: Students 9-16	Gp 1: Students 1-8
Train	Gp 3: Students 17-24	Gp 4: Students 25-32
Market	Gp 4: Students 25-32	Gp 3: Students 17-24

# Grade V: N, V token frequencies

- Nouns

Group range: 17-88

Anm, Vvy, Vib : 17-39

Anj, Shu, Anr: 19-39

Approx.noun-only stage

Verb-onset

Approx.verb dominance

- Verbs

Group range: 0-49

Anm, Vvy, Vib: 0-1

Anj, Shu, Anr: 20-46

verb-noun ratio < 0.33

0.33 - 0.66

>0.66

# VVY 'Market' Noun-only

utterances 70 words 40

ma' am *ek laD|ki*  
ma' am pineapple

banana

apple

mango

*kavva*

ma' am *chiTThii*

boy

girl

*ek baar* mother *aayll thl* ma' am  
*to Inke yahAA saamAAn*  
*leene aayee* *thi*

'one girl'

'crow'

'letter'

'Once the mother had come (she)  
had come to his place to buy  
things.'

pineapple

father

ma' am girl

ma' am hen

ma' am mother

ma' am boy

ma' am bouquet

mother

girl

boy

# An acquisitional path: noun only

- Students with very low verb counts use **L1** to express *propositional meaning*. English words restricted to nouns.
- Given the instructional context, many use the *formulaic utterance* “This is a...” followed by a noun.
- A very few sentences in English interrupt strings of nouns in English.

# Hem 'Train' Noun-only

utterances 109 words 74

this is a man

this is a boy

this is a coolie

this is a grandfather

this is a grandmother

this is a mother

this is

ma' am

*dekh raahae*

*bhojan kar raahae*

'(He) is watching.'

'(He) is having food.'

# ANV 'Train'

utterances 36 words 204

there was a man and a child and a child was  
the old man was the old man was  
the old man was  
old woman was  
and a woman sitting in the seat  
there was a man and children  
and a and a woman  
and the other people was sit to the train  
a man was bring the  
a man was bring the potatoes

# Acquired language vs. taught lg.

- Many utterances in even the ‘better’ transcripts ungrammatical or incoherent.
- Errors a natural characteristic of developing language systems
- Capture language development reflected in spontaneous, unrehearsed speech: not ‘tutored,’ ‘rehearsed,’ ‘taught’ language
- Contrast the answers written in notebooks

# SHU 'Shoe' Verb-dominance

utterances 20 words 103

a girl was going in his school  
he saw birds are flying  
he was going to school  
he saw flying shoes  
he wear the flying shoes  
the girl fly in the air  
the birds was sawing, saw the girl,  
saw in air  
the farmers are saw  
the girls fly to his parent  
the parent saw

the girls the girl is flying with dog  
rushed to her  
the girl aunt and he, he saw and  
said bye bye  
the girl was flying  
his friends sawing saw  
he give his shoes to the friends  
the boy  
his friends fighting  
he break his shoes  
the girl was crying  
now they are friends

# Verb Onset: L1- L2 comparison

- Resembles the two-word stage in L1 (Brown 1973)
- two-word utterances: meaning relations
- *entity-location* spoon table tree mango  
up mango
- *agent-action* daddy kick boy run dog see  
squirrel mango
- *agent-affected* me ball woman fruits

# Emergence of predicative categories (group means)

	Det	Prep	Conj	Adv/ Adj
N-only	0.6	0	0	0
Approx. N-only	10.1	3.3	1.1	0
Vb-onset	27.8	3.5	8.1	2
Approx. vb dom	35.2	8.6	8.8	6
Vb dom	23.3	2.3	4	1

# Lexico/syntactic structure

Verbs/ predicates have **argument structure**  
(**intransitive: 1 argument, transitive: 2 arguments**)  
John ran (\*the road); John killed \*(the goat).  
assign **thematic roles (agent, experiencer, patient)**.  
A mapping from lexical structure to syntactic  
structure:

The ice melts/ the rope drops/ the door opens.

John melts the ice/ drops the rope/ opens the door.

“The Uniformity of Theta Assignment” (Baker 1988)

“The structured lexicon:” Hale and Keyser (1993, 2002)

a.o.

# “First phase syntax”

Ramchand 2008: *Verb meaning and the lexicon*

Event predicates are syntactically represented with three sub event components:

An initiating projection (causing projection)

A process projection

A result projection

Assume a lexical entry:

*push* [*init*, *proc*] (lexico-encyclopaedic content)

# *push*: A first phase

*initP*

3

(John)

3

*init*

procP

*push*

3

(the table)

3

*proc*

XP

<*push*>

away

# Reference: out there?

Chomsky: “Symbols appear to relate to physically identifiable external or internal states: motion of leaves elicits a warning cry (maybe an eagle is coming); “I’ m hungry”; etc. Nothing remotely like that is true for even the simplest elements of human language: *cow, river, person, tree*, pick any one you want.”

- *river* (Heraclitus: you do not step in the same river twice)
- *person* (a person I know, on his person)

*Language Development Symposium: Language & other cognitive systems. What is special about Language?*

There is no direct link between the elementary elements of language and thought and some mind-independent external entity. ... the internal syllable [ta] yields a physical event, but no one seeks some category of physical events associated with [ta]. Similarly some (by no means all) uses of the word *river* relate to physically identifiable entities ... In David Hume's phrase, the "identity, which we ascribe" to vegetables, animal bodies, artifacts, persons and their minds, and so on ... is only a "fictitious one," established by our "cognoscitive powers," as they were termed by his 17th century predecessors.